

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/705,347	11/08/2003	Catherine B. Labelle	0180151	4624
25700 7590 06/01/2007 FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP			EXAMINER	
26522 LA ALAMEDA AVENUE, SUITE 360			CHEN, KIN CHAN	
MISSION VIE	JO, CA 92691		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1765	
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2007	0524			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				
Attachment(s)	_					
	or the defining copies in	or received.				
application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum3. Copies of the certified copies of the p						
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		A - P- P- A				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forea) All b) Some * c) None of:		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing Examiner. Note the attacl	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 ned Office Action or form PTO-152	1(d).			
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		to by the Examiner.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
Application Papers	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>21-34</u> is/are rejected.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
4) Claim(s) 21-34 is/are pending in the application	ation.					
Disposition of Claims						
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	2 Mav 2007.					
Status						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUR. 1.136(a). In no event, however, man. oriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) It latute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic				
Period for Reply						
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Kin-Chan Chen	1765	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	10/705,347	LABELLE ET AL.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				

Application/Control Number: 10/705,347 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection and final decision from BPAI. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 2, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colombo (US 2005/0079696) in view of Alers et al. (US 6,265,260) or Tu et al. (US 6,566,250) as evidenced by Chang et al. (2004/0188240; [0040]) or Ballance et al. (US 6,090,210; col. 1, lines 32-35), or Aronowitz et al. (US 6,759,337; col. 2, lines 45-50) or Chang et al. (US 2005/0019964; [0041]).

Art Unit: 1765

Colombo (Fig.4; [0010] [0012] [0025] [0029][0032]) teaches conventional process steps of forming a MOS FET on a substrate comprising: A high-k dielectric layer may be formed over the substrate. A gate electrode layer (such as polysilicon) may be thereon. The gate electrode layer and high-k dielectric layer may be etched to form a gate stack (gate structure). The etching gate electrode layer and the high-k dielectric layer may be performed in a plasma processing chamber. A source /drain regions adjacent to the gate stack may be formed. Spacers may be fabricated on the sidewalls of the gate stack. Thermal anneal may be performed on the gate stack.

Colombo teaches that a nitridation process may be performed on the sidewalls of gate structure (Fig. 4, [0011][0012]). Unlike the claimed invention, Colombo is silent about using nitrogen-containing plasma for nitridating sidewalls. However, Colombo teaches nitridation may be accomplished by any suitable techniques [0011]. Hence, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skilled in the art to use the conventional nitridation method of applying plasma comprising nitrogen. Alers et al. (US 6,265,260; col. 3, lines 41-43) or Tu et al. (US 6,566,250; col. 6, lines 7-9) is only relied on to show the conventional nitridation method of applying plasma comprising nitrogen. Because it is a conventional method in the art of semiconductor device fabrication and because it is disclosed by Alers, Tu, hence, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skilled in the art to apply said nitridation method in the process of Colombo in order to efficiently carry out the nitridation process.

Since the combined prior art teaches performing same nitridation on the gate stack, it is expected that the method of the combined prior art would contain the same

properties and effects (such as nitrogen forming an oxygen diffusion barrier in the high-k dielectric segment and preventing lateral diffusion of oxygen into the high-k dielectric segment).

Colombo also teaches that the nitridation of the sidewalls may repair damage on the sidewalls of the high-k dielectric segment caused during the step of etching the gate electrode layer and the high-k dielectric layer, see [0012], last 4 lines.

Claims differ from prior art by specifying performing the nitridation and etching in the same process chamber. However, It is common in the art that the plasma process chamber may be used for performing both etching and nitridation because it is efficient and more cost effective. See Chang et al. (2004/0188240) or Ballance et al. (US 6,090,210), or Aronowitz et al. (US 6,759,337) or Chang et al. (US 2005/0019964) in the record as evidence.

The limitations of claims 21, 27, 28, and 34 have been addressed above and rejected for the same reasons, supra.

As to dependent claims 22-26 and 29-33, Colombo teaches various high-k dielectric materials, which read on instant claims, see [0025].

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chang et al. (2004/0188240; [0040]) or Ballance et al. (US 6,090,210; col. 1, lines 32-35), or Aronowitz et al. (US 6,759,337; col. 2, lines 45-50) or

Application/Control Number: 10/705,347

Art Unit: 1765

Chang et al. (US 2005/0019964; [0041]) teaches that the plasma process chamber may

be used for performing both etching and nitridation.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kin-Chan Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1461. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 24, 2007

Kin-Chan Chen Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 1765